

In the Advisory Action, the Examiner stated: "Applicant has amended the claims to include 'complicated' which was previously rejected as being indefinite. Re-adding the term would require the Examiner to reinstate the rejection of claims 1, 2 and 4."

The Examiner also stated: "they [the amendments] raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search."

Two Observations

(1)

It is not possible for the re-introduction of "complicated" to introduce a "new issue" because it was previously included in claim 1 and rejected. The issue is revisited which cannot, per se, amount to a "new issue" but, in fact to an old issue.

(2)

By stating "...require the examiner to reinstate the rejection of claims 1, 2 and 4" leads, logically, to the conclusion that the old issue is reinstated, and this old issue was addressed in the brief.

What issue is applicant supposed to address in their brief if not one that has been reinstated?

The Notification on Non-Compliance should be withdrawn.

Respectfully submitted,



Felix J. D'Ambrosio
Reg. No. 25,721

August 8, 2001

JONES, TULLAR & COOPER, P.C.
P.O. Box 2266 Eads Station
Arlington, VA 22202
(703) 415-15000